Amandemen

Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Studies

ISSN: 2987-0038 (Online) Vol. 4 No. 2 (2026)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.61166/amd.v4i2.123 pp. 194-207

Research Article

Family in the Face of Digital Space Challenges: Toward Critical Awareness and Value-Based Resilience

Zakariae Boushab

Researcher in Family Issues and Contemporary Transformations, Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakech, Morocco

Corresponding Author, E-mail; zakariaeboushab3@gmail.com

Copyright © 2026 by Authors, Published by **Amandemen: Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Studies**. This is an open access article under the CC BY License https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Received : August 13, 2026 Revised : September 17, 2026
Accepted : October 15, 2026 Available online : November 09, 2026

How to Cite: Zakariae Boushab. (2026). Family in the Face of Digital Space Challenges: Toward Critical Awareness and Value-Based Resilience. *Amandemen: Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Studies*, *4*(2), 194–207. https://doi.org/10.61166/amd.v4i2.123

Abstract. The widespread use of digital technologies has transformed communication, knowledge acquisition, socialization, and the formation of values, creating both opportunities and challenges for contemporary families. This article examines the role of the family in fostering critical digital awareness and strengthening individuals' capacity to engage responsibly with online content. It adopts a qualitative, analytical, and library-based approach, drawing on conceptual analysis of digital education, critical thinking, family socialization, and value formation. The discussion focuses on information verification, privacy protection, online behavior, digital polarization, and the limitations of relying exclusively on prohibition and external supervision. It advances a resilience-oriented educational approach, developed in the author's work on building the family amid contemporary

challenges, that emphasizes critical judgment, dialogue, positive role modeling, and responsible decision-making. The article argues that family protection should combine age-appropriate safeguards with the gradual development of intellectual autonomy and ethical responsibility. It also highlights the importance of cooperation among families, educational institutions, media organizations, and relevant support services. Digital education is thus understood not as the rejection of technology, but as the development of the knowledge, values, and practical skills required for informed and responsible participation in digital society.

Keywords: Family Education; Digital Literacy; Critical Awareness; Value-Based Resilience; Digital Citizenship.

INTRODUCTION

The widespread adoption of digital technologies has brought about profound transformations in patterns of communication, knowledge acquisition, and the formation of attitudes and values. Digital space is no longer merely a medium for exchanging information or providing entertainment; it has become an influential environment for socialization, the construction of perceptions, the formation of relationships, and the shaping of interests and behaviors. In response to these transformations, families face a renewed responsibility: enabling their members to benefit consciously from the opportunities offered by technology while strengthening their capacity to engage critically and responsibly with the content, narratives, and influences to which they are exposed.

The central issue does not lie in the existence of digital technology itself, which offers significant opportunities for learning, communication, creativity, and access to knowledge. Rather, it concerns how these technologies are used, the nature of the content circulated through them, and the extent to which individuals possess the skills needed to distinguish reliable information from misleading claims, legitimate disagreement from exclusionary discourse, and constructive participation from irresponsible digital behavior.

In this context, the family emerges as a primary environment for socialization, personality development, and the consolidation of values. It is also a fundamental setting in which individuals acquire the skills of dialogue, critical thinking, and interaction with others. However, this role should not be understood as continuous surveillance or an absolute prohibition on the use of digital media. Instead, it should be understood as a gradual educational process grounded in guidance, dialogue, positive role modeling, trust-building, and the development of independent decision-making.

This article examines the relationship between the family and digital space from an analytical and educational perspective. It draws on the approach of building resilience rather than relying on prohibition alone, discussed in the author's book Building the Family in the Face of Contemporary Challenges. This approach

emphasizes the development of individuals' capacity for discernment and responsible choice while recognizing the need for preventive safeguards where specific risks arise.

The article addresses six interconnected themes: transformations in family socialization in the digital environment; critical awareness as a foundation for digital education; resilience-building beyond prohibition; the family's role in developing intellectual and value-based resilience; family dialogue as a means of addressing digital polarization; and the need for an integrated societal approach to digital education. Its central argument is that sustainable digital protection requires not only regulating access to content but also developing the knowledge, judgment, values, and practical skills that enable individuals to navigate digital environments responsibly.

Digital Space and Transformations in Family Socialization

Digital space has become an integral part of everyday life across age groups, extending its influence into learning, entertainment, communication, and the development of personal interests. This transformation has expanded opportunities for accessing knowledge and exchanging experiences. At the same time, it has generated educational and social challenges that require a careful understanding of the digital environment and the mechanisms through which it influences individuals.

One of the most significant challenges is the abundance and rapid circulation of information. Although accessing content has become increasingly easy, verifying its accuracy, source, and context has become correspondingly important. Inaccurate news, cropped images, and video clips detached from their original contexts may circulate widely. Opinions may also be presented as established facts, while sensational headlines are used to capture attention and provoke emotional reactions before individuals have an opportunity to reflect carefully.

The digital environment also raises concerns relating to privacy, personal data protection, online communication etiquette, cyberbullying, and exposure to content that may be inappropriate for an individual's age or level of maturity. For some individuals, unbalanced use of digital devices may affect the time allocated to sleep, study, or direct family interaction. The extent of these effects depends on patterns of use and on personal, family, and social circumstances.

Furthermore, some digital platforms rely on users' previous interests and patterns of engagement when ranking and recommending content. This may narrow the range of opinions and perspectives to which users are exposed, particularly when they repeatedly encounter similar content that reinforces their existing views. Such patterns may limit opportunities to engage with alternative perspectives or examine ideas independently.

Nevertheless, these challenges should not be treated as inevitable consequences of using technology. Their effects vary according to age, the nature

of the content, the duration and purpose of use, the family and educational context, and the individual's digital and critical-thinking skills. A balanced approach therefore requires distinguishing technology as a tool from the practices that can make its use either beneficial or harmful.

For families, the challenge is not simply to determine how much time children spend online. It is also to understand what they do in digital environments, what kinds of relationships and information they encounter, how they respond to online pressures, and whether they possess the skills needed to evaluate their experiences. Digital education should therefore combine reasonable boundaries with opportunities for discussion, learning, and the gradual development of autonomy.

Critical Awareness as a Foundation for Digital Education

Critical awareness in the digital environment refers to an individual's ability to engage thoughtfully with information and content circulating online. It involves examining sources, analyzing context, comparing available evidence, distinguishing facts from opinions, and assessing the plausibility of claims before accepting or sharing them.

Critical thinking does not mean rejecting everything published online, nor does it mean adopting an attitude of absolute distrust toward all information. Rather, it involves a balanced position that combines openness to knowledge with a willingness to verify it, while respecting the diversity of opinions and avoiding the automatic acceptance of claims simply because they have attracted widespread attention or engagement.

The importance of such awareness becomes particularly evident when confronting misleading content and forms of discourse that exploit fear, anger, perceived injustice, or the need for belonging to steer audiences toward particular positions. Content that provokes strong emotional reactions is not necessarily accurate, and a high number of shares does not constitute sufficient evidence that a claim is true. Similarly, a video clip does not always provide a complete account of an event; it may have been removed from its original context or accompanied by an inaccurate interpretation.

Critical digital awareness can be developed through several practical habits.

First, source verification. Individuals should identify the person or organization responsible for publishing information, trace the original report where possible, and distinguish established sources from anonymous or unverifiable accounts.

Second, contextual examination. Users should check publication dates and the circumstances surrounding information. Old images or video clips should not be treated as evidence of recent events without verification.

Third, cross-checking information. Important claims should be compared with information from independent sources, especially when they involve accusations, sensitive matters, or potential harm to individuals and communities.

Fourth, distinguishing facts from opinions. Individuals need to recognize the difference between reporting an event, interpreting it, commenting on it, and expressing a personal position. These forms of communication may overlap, but they should not be treated as equivalent.

Fifth, regulating emotional responses. Pausing before sharing content that provokes anger, fear, or ridicule creates an opportunity for verification and balanced judgment. Emotional reactions are human, but they should not automatically determine what individuals believe or circulate.

Sixth, accepting responsibility for publication. Sharing inaccurate information can contribute to its wider circulation. Deleting a post later does not necessarily eliminate its effects or undo the harm it may have caused.

These principles become more effective when they are transformed from theoretical recommendations into everyday family practices. Parents, for example, can discuss a widely circulated news item with their children, search together for its original source, and ask questions such as: Who published this information? What evidence supports its accuracy? Are there other sources that confirm it? What might we not know about its context?

Such practices do more than develop the ability to verify information. They also strengthen intellectual independence and teach children that reconsidering a position in light of new evidence is a sign of maturity rather than weakness or inconsistency.

Critical awareness should also extend beyond factual verification. It includes understanding how images, headlines, emotional appeals, social approval, and repeated exposure may influence judgment. This broader perspective enables individuals to reflect not only on whether a claim is accurate, but also on why it attracts their attention and how it may shape their perceptions of others.

Building Resilience Rather Than Relying on Prohibition Alone: From External Protection to Internal Capacity

In the search for more effective educational approaches to the challenges of digital space, it is important to move beyond a purely prohibitive approach toward one that builds resilience. This perspective is explored in the author's book Building the Family in the Face of Contemporary Challenges. It begins with the premise that protecting the family should not be limited to shielding its members from external influences or restricting their exposure to different forms of content. Protection should also involve developing their capacities for understanding, discernment, critical evaluation, and responsible choice.

Prohibition may be necessary in circumstances that require immediate protection, particularly when content is harmful or presents risks inappropriate to an individual's age and level of maturity. However, prohibition alone is insufficient to develop a personality capable of engaging thoughtfully with an open and constantly changing digital environment. It may limit exposure to particular content in a specific situation, but it does not necessarily equip individuals with the intellectual and value-based resources they need to deal with similar content when supervision is absent or circumstances change.

By contrast, resilience-building seeks to develop an internal capacity for informed and responsible engagement. It does so by fostering critical thinking, consolidating values, strengthening family dialogue, and training children to verify information and recognize methods of influence and persuasion. The objective is not to isolate individuals from the digital world, but to enable them to engage with it consciously and responsibly, distinguishing beneficial content from harmful material, legitimate disagreement from abusive discourse, and freedom of expression from conduct that harms others.

This approach does not mean eliminating family rules or diminishing the importance of age-appropriate supervision. Rather, it means redefining their function within an integrated educational process. Rules provide protection when necessary; dialogue explains their rationale; guidance develops understanding; and the gradual granting of autonomy allows children to demonstrate their capacity to assume responsibility.

The relationship between prohibition and resilience-building is therefore not one of absolute opposition. It requires establishing appropriate priorities according to the nature of the risk, the individual's age, and their level of maturity, while maintaining the development of internal capacity as a central educational objective.

This approach is particularly important in the digital environment because content, platforms, and techniques of influence are constantly evolving. Reliance on lists of prohibited content alone is therefore limited in its effectiveness. Education based on resilience-building seeks to equip children with skills that can be sustained and adapted over time. These skills enable them to examine what they encounter, suspend judgment when evidence is insufficient, seek assistance when faced with troubling situations, and take responsibility for what they publish or engage with online.

Accordingly, a family that adopts a resilience-building approach does not merely ask its children what they should avoid watching or doing. It also helps them understand the reasons behind sound choices, learn how to respond to misleading or harmful content, and recognize when they should disengage, report a problem, or seek assistance.

In this way, education moves beyond regulating visible behavior toward developing the awareness that underpins it. It also moves from temporary

protection toward strengthening individuals' ability to protect themselves and make responsible decisions.

The educational value of this approach lies in its emphasis on transferable capacities rather than dependence on a fixed list of restrictions. As digital environments change, individuals who have learned to question, verify, reflect, and seek help are better equipped to approach unfamiliar situations. Resilience-building should therefore be understood as an ongoing educational process rather than a guarantee that individuals will never encounter harmful content or make mistakes.

The Family and the Development of Intellectual and Value-Based Resilience

The family plays a central role in personality development and the formation of an individual's value system through emotional relationships, behavioral models, everyday experiences, and opportunities for learning and interaction. In the digital environment, this role acquires additional importance because individuals need standards that help them evaluate content, choose appropriate behavior, and respond to disagreement and social pressure.

Intellectual and value-based resilience can be understood as the gradually developed capacity to engage consciously with ideas and influences without becoming closed to knowledge or accepting it uncritically. It does not mean shielding individuals from every opposing opinion or constructing barriers that prevent questions and discussion. Rather, it means equipping them with the tools needed to understand and evaluate information and to adopt responsible positions grounded in knowledge, values, and respect for human dignity.
Several complementary elements contribute to this form of resilience.

1. Consolidating Values Through Practice

Values are not firmly established through verbal instruction alone. They become more deeply rooted when children observe them in their parents' behavior, including honesty, respect for others, protection of privacy, fairness in judgment, and willingness to apologize when wrong.

If children are encouraged to respect others online, they should also observe this respect in the way adults speak about people with whom they disagree. Avoiding ridicule, public shaming, and sweeping generalizations is therefore an essential part of family education. The consistency between stated values and actual behavior strengthens the credibility of parental guidance.

2. Strengthening Belonging and Emotional Security

Feeling valued and accepted within the family provides an environment in which children can express questions and concerns without fearing humiliation or rejection. This does not mean that families can prevent every external influence. Rather, it gives children a supportive human and educational reference point to

which they can turn when they encounter confusing content, peer pressure, or harmful digital experiences.

A supportive family environment can also encourage children to disclose uncomfortable experiences, including cyberbullying, unwanted contact, or exposure to disturbing content. The possibility of asking for help without immediate blame is an important component of effective protection.

3. Respecting Difference and Developing Dialogue

Children need to understand that differences of opinion do not justify insult or exclusion and that criticizing ideas is different from attacking the people who express them. Calm family dialogue helps develop the ability to listen, understand arguments, and distinguish legitimate criticism from incitement, and intellectual disagreement from harmful behavior.

Such dialogue should allow questions and disagreement without abandoning clear ethical boundaries. Respect for difference does not require accepting every claim as valid; it requires responding through reasoned discussion rather than humiliation or hostility.

4. Developing Autonomy and Responsibility

Intellectual resilience cannot be achieved through permanent dependence on adults' decisions. Children need opportunities to understand the reasons behind guidance and restrictions and to practice making responsible decisions gradually. As they grow older, their autonomy can expand in accordance with their maturity and demonstrated capacity for responsibility, while support and communication remain available.

This gradual process helps transform external rules into an increasingly internalized understanding of responsibility. It also allows parents to adapt their guidance rather than apply identical restrictions regardless of age, circumstances, or individual needs.

5. Understanding Psychological and Social Needs

Some individuals may turn to digital environments to express themselves, seek recognition and belonging, or escape feelings of loneliness. Consequently, educational responses to digital use should not focus exclusively on monitoring time or restricting content. They should also consider the quality of family relationships, opportunities for participation and achievement, and the concerns or difficulties children may experience.

Providing meaningful alternatives, including shared activities, reading, sports, creative pursuits, and social participation, can help broaden children's experiences beyond screens. These activities should complement digital education rather than be presented as a universal solution to every difficulty associated with technology.

Intellectual and value-based resilience thus emerges from the interaction of knowledge, education, and human relationships. It cannot be reduced to a collection of prohibitions or instructions. It develops through consistent example, supportive relationships, critical engagement, and the gradual acquisition of responsibility.

Family Dialogue And The Prevention Of Digital Polarization

Dialogue is one of the most important educational means through which families can understand their children's digital experiences and help them respond to those experiences. Guidance based on intimidation, accusation, or ridicule may lead some children to conceal their problems and online activities. By contrast, dialogue grounded in respect and trust creates better opportunities for expression and help-seeking.

This becomes particularly important when children encounter content designed to provoke hatred, humiliation, or hostility toward particular groups, encourage the adoption of rigid positions without examination, or present the world through a closed binary framework that divides people into opposing groups with no possibility of understanding. Responding to such content, however, requires care. Exposure to controversial material or the expression of a dissenting opinion is not, by itself, sufficient grounds for labeling an individual as extremist. Assessment should consider the content of the discourse, the nature and repetition of the behavior, and the context in which the relevant indicators appear.

Families can adopt several practical measures to address these challenges.

First, they can establish regular opportunities to discuss digital experiences without turning every conversation into an interrogation or a trial. The purpose is to understand and guide rather than to create an atmosphere of suspicion.

Second, they can encourage children to ask questions and discuss the ideas they encounter, correcting inaccurate information through evidence, explanation, and respectful argument.

Third, they should avoid generalizations and judgments about individuals based on a single video clip or online post. Contextual understanding is essential when interpreting digital behavior.

Fourth, families can discuss the techniques used by some content creators to influence audiences, including shocking headlines, selective presentation of facts, ridicule of opposing views, and the deliberate provocation of fear or anger.

Fifth, they can encourage shared family activities, reading, sports, and social participation to broaden children's experiences beyond the screen and strengthen their relationships with others.

Sixth, they can agree on clear, age-appropriate rules concerning privacy, screen time, games and platforms, communication with strangers, and seeking help when confronted with threats, blackmail, or cyberbullying.

These rules should be understandable, gradual, and open to review. They should also be applied proportionately to age and level of responsibility. Protecting children should not become a humiliating invasion of their privacy; rather, it should reflect a balance between their developing autonomy and the family's duty of care.

When concerning indicators emerge, such as severe social withdrawal, repeated threats, marked behavioral changes, or involvement in harmful interactions, the appropriate response is to begin with calm dialogue and an effort to understand the surrounding circumstances. Where necessary, families can seek assistance from educational professionals, qualified psychological support services, or the relevant protection authorities. A balanced approach neither exaggerates warning signs nor ignores them.

Preventing digital polarization therefore requires more than removing access to particular content. It requires the development of an environment in which children can examine ideas, recognize manipulative communication, maintain meaningful relationships, and seek guidance without fear of humiliation. Family dialogue can contribute to these goals when it combines openness with clear ethical standards and a willingness to reconsider assumptions in light of evidence.

Toward An Integrated Societal Approach To Digital Education

Although the family plays an essential role, it cannot bear the responsibility for digital education alone. The environment in which individuals develop is also shaped by schools, media organizations, cultural and religious institutions, civil society actors, digital platform providers, and policies intended to protect users. Strengthening digital awareness therefore requires cooperation among these stakeholders, with clear responsibilities and respect for their respective roles.
Several practical approaches can contribute to this objective.

1. Integrating Media and Digital Literacy into Education

Educational programs and activities should include practical exercises in news verification, understanding techniques of influence and persuasion, protecting personal data, communicating respectfully, and using artificial intelligence tools responsibly. Such activities should emphasize application and discussion rather than the memorization of definitions alone.

Students should have opportunities to evaluate examples, compare sources, identify misleading presentations, and reflect on the ethical implications of sharing information. These skills are more likely to become meaningful when practiced in realistic situations.

2. Equipping Families with Practical Skills

Families need accessible resources that help them understand the platforms their children use, configure privacy settings, identify ways to report harmful content, and manage disagreements concerning digital use. Support should also recognize

differences in digital knowledge among parents and caregivers, providing guidance without making them feel inadequate or blamed.

Practical family education can include guidance on privacy, age-appropriate safeguards, online communication, and procedures for seeking assistance when problems arise. Such support should be updated as platforms and digital practices evolve.

3. Encouraging the Production of Positive Content

Responding to misleading or harmful content cannot rely exclusively on removal and warnings. It also requires the availability of engaging and reliable content that meets users' needs for knowledge, learning, entertainment, and communication.

Educational, cultural, religious, and media institutions can contribute by producing material that respects audiences' intelligence, draws on reliable knowledge, and presents complex issues in clear language without sensationalism or public shaming. Positive content should not merely compete for attention; it should also encourage curiosity, reflection, respectful disagreement, and informed participation.

4. Promoting a Culture of Digital Responsibility

Digital citizenship requires respect for privacy, verification before publication, avoidance of cyberbullying and public shaming, respect for intellectual property, acceptance of difference, and reporting harmful behavior through appropriate channels.

It also requires recognizing that conduct in digital environments is not separate from ethical and social responsibility. The ease of publishing and sharing information does not remove the obligation to consider its accuracy, its potential effects on others, and the rights of those concerned.

5. Building Partnerships Among Families, Schools, and Relevant Institutions

Regular communication between families and educational institutions can facilitate the exchange of experiences and support early responses to emerging problems while safeguarding the privacy of children and families.

Relevant institutions can also provide clear channels for consultation, guidance, and reporting, making it easier to access assistance when digital harm occurs. Effective cooperation should avoid transferring all responsibility to one party and should instead establish complementary roles based on the needs of children and their families.

6. Adopting an Evidence-Informed Approach to Evaluation

For awareness programs to be effective, their evaluation should not be limited to counting meetings, campaigns, or publications. It should also examine whether participants acquire relevant skills, whether verification habits improve, whether

individuals become better able to protect their privacy, and whether the quality of family communication changes.

Field studies that account for differences in age groups and social contexts can help ensure that recommendations are based on evidence that can be examined rather than on general impressions alone. Such research can also identify which educational practices are useful under particular conditions and where additional support is required.

An integrated approach to digital education makes responsibility a shared endeavor and helps move beyond narratives that blame families or young people. Its emphasis is on empowerment, cooperation, and continuous learning, with the protection of human dignity and the development of individual capacities as common objectives.

CONCLUSION

The challenges associated with digital space cannot be adequately understood by treating technology as either an absolute danger or a solution to every problem. They must instead be examined through patterns of use, the nature of circulating content, and the skills individuals possess to engage with digital environments. Digital space offers extensive opportunities for learning, communication, and creativity, but it also creates a need for critical awareness that supports information verification, understanding of persuasive techniques, respect for privacy, and responsibility for online conduct.

The family remains a fundamental environment for personality development, the consolidation of values, and the cultivation of dialogue and independent thinking, provided that its role is exercised through education, positive example, and trust rather than absolute prohibition, intimidation, or surveillance that disregards children's privacy.

This is where the approach of building resilience rather than relying on prohibition alone, explored in the author's book Building the Family in the Face of Contemporary Challenges, becomes particularly relevant. It encourages a shift from the mere regulation of visible behavior toward the development of awareness and the internal capacity for discernment and responsible choice.

Resilience-building does not mean eliminating prohibition when circumstances require it. Rather, it means placing restrictions within a broader educational process whose purpose is to prepare individuals to respond to evolving challenges without losing their intellectual independence or value-based orientation. The success of this process also depends on the contribution of schools, media organizations, cultural and educational institutions, and relevant support services within a shared framework centered on protecting individuals and developing their capacities.

Building intellectual and value-based resilience in the digital age does not require closing the doors to knowledge. It requires enabling individuals to approach knowledge consciously, engage with different perspectives with an open and responsible mind, distinguish freedom of expression from harm to others, differentiate diversity of opinion from misinformation, and benefit from technology without submitting uncritically to its influences.

Families that engage in dialogue with their children, learn alongside them, extend trust, and encourage verification and reflection do more than protect them from certain digital risks. They also help prepare individuals for lifelong learning, respect for human dignity, and constructive participation in a society in which knowledge and digital responsibility are increasingly important.

Ultimately, effective digital education is neither a policy of unrestricted access nor a strategy of prohibition alone. It is a sustained educational commitment that combines appropriate safeguards with critical awareness, ethical responsibility, meaningful relationships, and the gradual development of autonomy. This combination offers a constructive foundation for helping individuals navigate digital space with discernment, resilience, and respect for others.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

The final bibliography should contain at least fifteen reliable and verifiable references relevant to family education, digital literacy, critical thinking, media literacy, online safety, and digital citizenship. Each entry should be checked against the original publication and formatted consistently with the journal's required citation style.

REFERENCES

Boushab, Z. (2024). *Bina' al-usra fi zill al-tahaddiyat al-mu'asira* [Building the family in the context of contemporary challenges].

Buckingham, D. (2007). *Beyond technology: Children's learning in the age of digital culture*. Polity Press.

Council of Europe. (2019a). *Digital citizenship education handbook*. Council of Europe Publishing.

Council of Europe. (2019b). *Recommendation CM/Rec(2019)10 of the Committee of Ministers to member States on developing and promoting digital citizenship education*.

Hobbs, R. (2011). *Digital and media literacy: Connecting culture and classroom*. Corwin.

Livingstone, S., & Blum-Ross, A. (2020). *Parenting for a digital future: How hopes and fears about technology shape children's lives*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190874698.001.0001

UNESCO. (2021). *Media and information literate citizens: Think critically, click wisely!*. UNESCO.

UNICEF. (2017). *The state of the world's children 2017: Children in a digital world*. UNICEF.

Vuorikari, R., Kluzer, S., & Punie, Y. (2022). *DigComp 2.2: The digital competence framework for citizens—with new examples of knowledge, skills and attitudes*. Publications Office of the European Union. https://doi.org/10.2760/115376

Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H. (2017). *Information disorder: Toward an interdisciplinary framework for research and policy making*. Council of Europe.